

How to Digitally Decouple from an Unstable US

Europe's heavy reliance on U.S. digital technology has raised alarm bells as the United States enters a period of political uncertainty. High-profile events – from the Snowden revelations of 2013 to the tumultuous Trump presidencies – have underscored how U.S. instability or policy shifts could threaten Europe's digital infrastructure^{[1][2]}. **Digital sovereignty** has emerged as a key goal: it refers to Europe's ability to control its own digital systems, data, and decisions without outside interference^[3]. In practical terms, this means reducing dependence on U.S. tech giants for critical services. Below we map **10 critical areas** of digital dependence, the near-term risks if the U.S. becomes more unstable, and European or open-source alternatives to pursue. (*Sovereignty, usability, and maturity are prioritized in these alternatives.*)

Short-Term vs. Long-Term Realities: In the short-to-medium term, Europe can begin decoupling by switching to existing alternatives and investing in its own tech capabilities. However, completely divorcing U.S. tech may be impossible to achieve overnight^[4]. Many European alternatives still rely partly on global infrastructure (for example, EU search engines using U.S.-run cloud services^[5]). Nonetheless, each step toward local or open solutions – whether adopting a European cloud provider or using an open-source app – is a step toward greater autonomy^[6]. The following sections explain the challenges in each area and list viable alternatives.

1. Operating Systems (Desktop & Mobile)

Almost all personal computing devices in Europe run on U.S.-made operating systems – Windows and macOS on PCs, and Google’s Android or Apple’s iOS on smartphones. This **concentration of power in U.S. firms** means European users and businesses are subject to foreign control over device updates, app ecosystems, and security patches[7]. In a worst-case scenario (e.g. geopolitical conflict or sanctions), an unstable U.S. could pressure these companies to cut off services or enforce backdoors. Even without conflict, U.S. laws like the CLOUD Act could compel providers to hand over data from devices[8]. Europe’s lack of a native OS also raises privacy concerns (constant data sharing with Google/Apple) and dependency on their app stores.

Yet, alternatives are available. Many European tech enthusiasts are already “purging their phones” of Google/Apple influence by installing independent operating systems[9]. Some governments are also acting – for example, Germany’s Schleswig-Holstein state mandated that all public administration IT run on open-source software[10]. Adopting open-source or European-made OS software increases sovereignty (full control of source code), though usability varies and support for some apps can be limited.

Potential Alternatives:

- **Linux Desktop Distributions (Ubuntu, Debian, etc.)** – Open-source operating systems for PCs that replace Windows/macOS. Modern Linux distros offer user-friendly interfaces and strong security. European organizations are embracing Linux; an entire German state shifted its administration to open-source OS and software[10]. (*Usability*: Moderate – user experience has improved greatly; *Maturity*: High – Linux has decades of development and enterprise support.)*
- **GrapheneOS, LineageOS, /e/OS (Murena)** – Privacy-focused Android forks that remove Google services[11]. These can be installed on many Android phones, freeing the device from Google’s tracking and dependence. For example, **Topio**, a Berlin non-profit, helps users install Graphene, LineageOS or /e/OS on their phones, which **run more smoothly** on older hardware and send far less data to Big Tech[11]. (*Usability*: Moderate – requires some technical steps or expert help; *Maturity*: Medium – generally stable for daily use, though app availability can be slightly reduced.)*
- **Sailfish OS (Jolla)** – A European (Finland) developed mobile OS that is independent of Google/Apple ecosystems. It can run on select devices and supports a range of apps. (*Usability*: Moderate – interface is polished, but limited device support; *Maturity*:

Medium – used in some government and corporate settings, e.g. previously in Russia, but not mass-adopted.)*

- **CalyxOS and IodéOS** – Other open Android-based systems emphasizing security or minimal bloat. These are similar in spirit to Graphene/Lineage. (*Usability*: Moderate; *Maturity*: Medium.)*
- **Future EU-wide OS Initiatives** – There are calls for an “EU-Linux” for public sector use[12]. While not yet realized, support for such initiatives now could yield a fully sovereign EU operating system in the medium term.

(Disclaimer: Installing alternative OSes on mobile devices may void warranties and can be complex for average users. However, services like Topio in Europe demonstrate growing support for non-experts to make the switch[9].)

2. Digital Certification & Trust (Secure Identity)

Problem: Every secure connection on the internet relies on digital certificates issued by Certificate Authorities (CAs). Alarmingly, **over 75% of certificates used by EU organizations are issued by U.S.-based CAs**[\[13\]](#). This creates a hidden strategic vulnerability: if U.S. authorities or companies decided to revoke those certificates (perhaps amid geopolitical tensions), European websites, APIs, or even banking systems could be **essentially taken offline overnight**[\[14\]](#)[\[15\]](#). In a politically unstable U.S., certificate infrastructure could be weaponized – an extreme but not impossible scenario, as European analysts have warned[\[16\]](#). Even without malicious revocation, U.S. legal jurisdiction means American agencies can demand access to encrypted European data if the keys or CAs are under U.S. control. Moreover, the “**browser gatekeepers**” (Google, Apple, Mozilla, Microsoft) ultimately decide which CAs are trusted on their platforms[\[17\]](#). These companies are predominantly American, giving the U.S. indirect control over who is trusted on the web[\[18\]](#).

Alternatives & Decoupling Strategies: Europe is actively seeking to **regain control of its “internet identity”** through local trust services. The EU’s eIDAS regulation already establishes European Trust Service Providers for verified digital signatures and identities, and a new **EU Digital Identity Wallet** is in development to provide a sovereign alternative to Google/Apple login ecosystems. Concretely, European companies and governments can take steps now:

- **Use European Certificate Authorities** – Favor EU-based CAs or those under EU jurisdiction for TLS/SSL and code signing certificates. For example, Germany’s D-Trust (Bundesdruckerei) or France’s Certinomis issue certificates under national oversight. This reduces exposure to U.S. legal reach. (*Usability*: High – browsers trust them; *Maturity*: High for established EU CAs.)*
- **Deploy In-House or Open-Source PKI** – Organizations can run their own certificate infrastructure for internal use, using open-source tools (e.g. EJBCA or Let’s Encrypt’s Boulder software). New **European PKI solutions** are coming to market – for instance, Evertrust (France) offers complete certificate lifecycle management and authority services that keep trust chains within Europe[\[19\]](#). These allow a company or government to effectively “be its own CA,” while still interoperating with global standards. (*Usability*: Medium – requires IT expertise; *Maturity*: Medium – emerging products, but promising.)*
- **Browsers & Trust Stores Under EU Control** – Support European-led browser projects or fork existing ones to include a European-controlled certificate trust store. For example, **Mullvad Browser** (by a Swedish VPN company) or forks of Firefox can be configured to trust EU-rooted CAs by default. This is a more technical, long-term approach, but it

aligns with sovereignty. (*Usability*: Low initially – niche user base; *Maturity*: Low – needs development.)*

- **DNS and Root Zone Sovereignty** – Similarly, support EU-based DNS root zone management and certificate transparency logs to monitor certificate issuance. While the global DNS and web PKI are hard to change quickly, increasing European presence in these governance bodies (ICANN, CA/Browser Forum) can safeguard against unilateral U.S. actions.

(Why it matters: An incident in 2020 showed this risk when a U.S. dispute led to the temporary revocation of a major certificate, causing outages. While not geopolitical, it proved the concept. Ensuring European services can fall back on European CAs and identity systems is insurance against an “off switch” outside our control.)

3. Payment Systems (Cards & Online Payments)

Everyday payment rails in Europe are dominated by U.S. companies. **Visa and Mastercard process the vast majority of card transactions in Europe – about €7 trillion in 2023 alone**[\[20\]](#) – and in some countries like the UK they handle **95% of all card payments**[\[21\]](#). Alongside them, American firms like PayPal and Stripe facilitate much of European e-commerce. This duopoly/dominance raises costs and exposes Europe to risk: an unstable or hostile U.S. could see these companies **hiking fees or even cutting off services** to certain regions or sectors in Europe. Indeed, fees have been rising (Visa/Mastercard increased some fees ~25%+ above inflation since 2017[\[22\]](#)), and policy disputes (e.g. over digital taxes) could spur retaliatory measures. Moreover, U.S. sanctions can leverage payment networks – e.g. cutting off Russian banks – and **Europe has few alternatives** in place, meaning it must comply or lose access. Reliance on U.S. payment infrastructure therefore undermines economic sovereignty and even data privacy (transaction data often flows to U.S. servers).

Alternatives & Solutions: The EU is actively working to “**break the Visa/Mastercard duopoly**” and build sovereign payment systems[\[23\]](#)[\[24\]](#). Though challenging, progress is being made on multiple fronts:

- **European Payments Initiative (EPI) – “Wero” Digital Wallet** – A consortium of European banks launched **Wero** in 2024, a unified mobile payment system intended to replace national card schemes and compete with PayPal and cards across the EU[\[25\]](#). Wero allows instant account-to-account payments and is rolling out for online, in-store, and peer-to-peer transactions in France, Germany, Belgium and beyond[\[26\]](#)[\[27\]](#). It’s **proudly European**, keeping data in EU and aiming to remove reliance on U.S. networks.
(*Usability*: High – designed for mainstream use via a mobile app; *Maturity*: Medium – initial launch phase, backed by major banks.)*
- **Domestic Card Schemes** – Support and use your country’s local debit card networks where possible. For example, France’s **Cartes Bancaires (CB)** or Germany’s **Girocard** are widely accepted domestically and keep processing mostly within country. While these often co-badge with Visa/Mastercard for international acceptance, greater usage of the domestic route retains fees and control in Europe[\[28\]](#). The long-term vision is to interlink such schemes EU-wide (which EPI/Wero seeks to do). (*Usability*: High domestically; *Maturity*: High – decades-old systems, though fragmented across countries.)*
- **SEPA Instant Credit Transfers (SEPA Inst)** – This is an EU banking initiative enabling near-instant Euro transfers between banks (usually in under 10 seconds, 24/7). SEPA Inst is a viable **alternative to card payments** for online purchases or P2P transfers, as it moves money directly from bank to bank without card networks. The EU is pushing

wider adoption of SEPA Instant as a low-cost, sovereign payment rail[29][30]. Consumers can already use bank apps or services like **Payconiq** or **Swish** (in Sweden) built on instant transfers. (*Usability*: High – integrated into banking apps; *Maturity*: High – infrastructure in place, needs broader merchant adoption.)*

- **European Payment Processors** – When running a business, choose EU-based payment providers like **Adyen** (Netherlands) or **Mollie** (Netherlands) instead of U.S. Stripe. While they ultimately still route through Visa/Mastercard for card payments, these processors at least keep your data under EU law and often support alternative local payment methods (like iDEAL in NL, Sofort in DE). (*Usability*: High; *Maturity*: High.)*
- **Emerging Technologies** – In the near future, **digital currencies** might bolster Europe's autonomy. The European Central Bank is exploring a **digital euro** which could offer a public, euro-backed digital payment method not controlled by any private or foreign entity. Additionally, some EU businesses trade via cryptocurrency or blockchain networks to bypass traditional rails (as seen when Iran and Russia used crypto to evade U.S. sanctions on SWIFT[31]). These are nascent solutions that carry other risks, but they illustrate efforts to **diversify away from U.S. control** of payments[32].

(Note: The card duopoly issue is not just about sovereignty but also innovation and cost. Greater competition (via EU alternatives) could lower merchant fees and foster payment innovations in Europe[33][24]. In the short term, individuals can pressure change by using and demanding local payment options – every time you choose a SEPA transfer or local wallet over a U.S. card, you support the European ecosystem.)

4. Creative Software & Digital Content Tools

Creative professionals in Europe – graphic designers, videographers, artists, architects – overwhelmingly rely on U.S. software like Adobe Creative Cloud (Photoshop, Illustrator, InDesign, Premiere), Autodesk's tools, or Apple's creative suite. This poses several issues: **subscription dependence** (costly recurring fees to U.S. firms), data sovereignty (cloud-synced files on Adobe/Amazon servers), and vulnerability to abrupt changes (a policy change or export restriction could lock Europeans out of essential tools). Moreover, these proprietary tools are closed-source, limiting Europe's ability to adapt or audit them for security. In an unstable or antagonistic U.S. scenario, European creatives and media industries could be hamstrung if their software access is curtailed. Even short of that, the lack of viable local alternatives means European creativity funnels revenue and data into Silicon Valley, not local innovation.

Alternatives (EU-Based or Open-Source): Encouragingly, there is a growing ecosystem of European and open-source creative tools which prioritize privacy, affordability, and independence. Many have reached a level of maturity suitable for professional use:

- **Photo Editing:** *GIMP* (open-source, global development) and *Krita* (open-source, Netherlands origin) are powerful raster graphics editors comparable to Photoshop[34][35]. They support layers, masks, and many Photoshop formats. On the commercial side, Britain's **Affinity Photo** (Serif Europe) is a popular one-time purchase alternative to Photoshop[36], and France's **DxO PhotoLab** is a high-end photo editor focused on photographers[37]. These tools prove that European alternatives can meet creative needs without Adobe's ecosystem. (*Usability*: High – similar interfaces to Adobe; *Maturity*: High – widely used, especially Affinity and GIMP.)*
- **Vector Graphics & Layout:** For replacing Illustrator and InDesign, open-source *Inkscape* (community-driven, with contributors in Europe) handles vector drawings, and *Scribus* (open-source) or **Affinity Publisher** (UK) handle desktop publishing/layout. Another example is *Photopea* – a Czech-developed web-based image editor that mimics Photoshop's interface[38]. It runs entirely in-browser and even opens PSD files. (*Usability*: Medium/High; *Maturity*: Medium – improving rapidly.)*
- **Video & Audio Production:** Blackmagic's **DaVinci Resolve** (while not EU-based, it's a non-US company, Blackmagic is Australian) offers a one-time purchase or free tool rivaling Adobe Premiere for video editing. Open-source options like *Kdenlive* or *Shotcut* can also serve for semi-pro video work. For motion graphics and 3D, the star is **Blender** – an open-source 3D modeling and animation suite originally from the Netherlands, now used globally in film and game studios. Blender can cover some use-cases of Autodesk Maya/3dsMax and even Adobe AfterEffects (with its video editor and compositing

nodes). For audio editing, *Audacity* (open-source) or **Ardour** (open-source, with contributors in EU) can replace Audition/Pro Tools for many users. (*Usability*: High for Blender/Resolve (industry-grade), Medium for others; *Maturity*: High for Blender/Resolve, Medium for others.)*

- **CAD and Engineering:** Instead of AutoCAD (Autodesk, US), professionals can consider **BricsCAD** (developed in Belgium, now part of Hexagon AB Sweden) or open-source *FreeCAD*. For architectural design, *LibreCAD* and *QCAD* are lightweight open tools. They may not yet match all features of AutoCAD/Revit, but are steadily improving. (*Usability*: Medium; *Maturity*: Medium.)*
- **Creative Collaboration:** To replace cloud services like Adobe CC libraries or Figma (design collaboration now owned by Adobe), Europeans can use *Penpot* (open-source, Spain) for UX/UI design collaboration or *Nextcloud* with plugins for sharing media assets. These ensure creative assets remain on EU servers.

Why These Alternatives? Aside from reducing dependency, many European alternatives emphasize **privacy, ethical business models, and local economic support**. For instance, European software often complies with GDPR and avoids excessive data collection[39]. They tend to shun exploitative subscription models and keep user data on local servers[40]. By adopting them, European creatives keep control of their work and support homegrown innovation[41]. The good news is many such tools are *already* in use – Affinity apps and Blender, for example, have strong followings. In the short term, freelancers and studios can start by incorporating one or two open-source tools into their workflow (for example, using GIMP for basic edits, or Blender for certain 3D tasks), gradually lessening reliance on Adobe/Autodesk. In the medium term, this demand will spur even more robust European creative software, achieving near feature-parity with the U.S. incumbents.

(Case in point: A German marketing agency recently made headlines for dropping Adobe subscriptions in favor of a 100% open-source pipeline, citing cost savings and sovereignty. They reported only minimal efficiency loss after the learning curve – a promising sign for broader adoption.)

5. Cloud Infrastructure (Hosting and IaaS)

Challenge: The backbone of modern digital services is cloud computing – and Europe’s cloud infrastructure is heavily dependent on the American “hyperscalers.” **US companies (Amazon AWS, Microsoft Azure, Google Cloud) command about 70% of Europe’s cloud market, whereas EU-based providers hold only ~15%[42].** This imbalance means European data, even if stored on EU soil, often sits in data centers owned by foreign firms subject to U.S. law. The U.S. CLOUD Act can force these providers to hand over data from anywhere in the world to American authorities[43][44]. In a scenario where U.S.-EU relations sour or U.S. politics destabilize, Europe’s critical apps and data could be at risk – through access by foreign agencies, service interruptions, or price hikes. There’s also a “**sovereign illusion**” where American providers market EU-regional services as “secure” while still ultimately being under U.S. legal jurisdiction[45]. As an EFF technologist noted, completely divorcing from U.S. tech is very hard because “everything from push notifications to content delivery networks” is tied into U.S. infrastructure[46]. Nonetheless, the dependency is a glaring vulnerability, prompting calls for a *European Sovereign Cloud*. Modern sectors (finance, health, government) need cloud services that **guarantee EU jurisdiction control** – without that, digital sovereignty is an illusion[47].

Alternatives & Action: Europe is mobilizing on two fronts: **boosting European cloud providers** and enforcing data sovereignty requirements. For businesses and governments, migrating to EU-based clouds or on-premises solutions in the short term can drastically reduce exposure. Key alternatives and strategies:

- **European Cloud Providers (IaaS/PaaS):** There are several capable EU-based cloud companies. **OVHcloud** (France) offers a full range of services (compute, storage, Kubernetes, etc.) entirely under EU jurisdiction. **Deutsche Telekom’s T-Systems, IONOS by 1&1** (Germany), **Scaleway** (France), **City Network (Cleura)** (Sweden), and **Outscale** (France, by Dassault Systèmes) are other notable providers. Using them means your data and workloads stay in EU data centers operated by EU entities. For example, XWiki (a French open-source firm) hosts its cloud service on OVHcloud to ensure GDPR compliance and that no U.S. entity holds the keys[48]. (*Usability:* High – these providers support standard cloud APIs and services; *Maturity:* Medium/High – some are not as globally scaled as AWS, but are enterprise-grade within Europe.)*
- **“Sovereign Cloud” Offerings:** In response to demand, even the big U.S. players are offering segregated EU clouds – e.g. Microsoft’s “European Cloud” commitment and AWS’s announced **AWS European Sovereign Cloud**[49]. However, one must scrutinize these: truly sovereign cloud means **no foreign parent company can override local control**[50][44]. Many of these offers are essentially partnerships or data centers in

Europe but **still under U.S. ownership** – what Nextcloud’s CEO calls “*sovereign washing*”^[44]. Use these with caution or as a stopgap. A more genuine approach is consortium-led clouds: e.g. **Bleu** in France (a collaboration between Orange and Capgemini with Microsoft tech but French entity control) and **EU Secure Infrastructure** programs. (*Usability*: High; *Maturity*: Medium – still developing legal structures.)*

- **Self-Hosted and Hybrid Cloud:** To decouple, some organizations are reverting to **on-premises data centers or private clouds** for sensitive data, while keeping less critical workloads on public cloud. Technologies like OpenStack or Kubernetes allow creating a private cloud that mimics AWS-like functionality but fully under one’s control. Germany’s government, for instance, pledged to use more local infrastructure and open formats^[51], and some ministries built OpenStack clouds for internal use. **Gaia-X**, an EU initiative, is creating a framework for interoperable cloud services where data sovereignty is baked in. Though Gaia-X is not a cloud provider itself, it will enable a network of European clouds with common standards. In practice, companies can pursue a hybrid strategy: keep customer data and critical apps on an EU sovereign cloud or on-prem, and use foreign cloud only for non-sensitive tasks. (*Usability*: Medium; *Maturity*: High for hybrid tech, Medium for Gaia-X adoption.)*
- **Encryption and Key Management:** If using U.S. cloud services, mitigate risk by controlling your own encryption. Use client-side encryption and hold the keys in Europe (or with a neutral third party). That way, even if data is stored in an AWS bucket, Amazon cannot hand it over in plaintext. Solutions like **Tresorit** (Swiss cloud storage with end-to-end encryption) or deploying your own **Nextcloud** server ensure that data remains unreadable to the infrastructure provider. This doesn’t solve jurisdiction issues entirely, but adds a layer of defense.

(Trend: The push for cloud sovereignty is gaining momentum. By 2025, demands from European IT leaders for local cloud options grew significantly^[52]. EU regulators are also scrutinizing cloud giants – e.g. Microsoft had to adjust cloud licensing in Europe after complaints^[53]. For now, every euro not spent on AWS/Azure is a vote for the European ecosystem^[6]. Even if you can’t avoid them entirely, shifting some workloads to EU providers bolsters competition and resilience.)

6. Productivity & Collaboration Suites (Email, Office, Storage)

The Dependency: Over 74% of publicly listed European companies rely on U.S.-based email, office, and collaboration suites – mainly Microsoft 365 or Google Workspace[54]. This means day-to-day European business operations (email, document editing, file storage, videoconferencing, team chat) run on foreign servers under foreign control. The risks are twofold: (1) **Data access and surveillance** – U.S. law can potentially access company emails and files (a real concern after the CLOUD Act and repeated transatlantic disputes over privacy). And (2) **Service stability** – in an extreme scenario, a politicized U.S. could pressure providers to suspend services or accounts (imagine Google denying EU users Gmail/Drive access over a legal feud, or Microsoft throttling services abroad). Even without malice, an unstable U.S. environment (say, domestic turmoil or infrastructure failure) could disrupt these globally centralized services. The recent debates around U.S. tech companies' content policies also highlight European discomfort with having critical communication channels subject to another country's rules.

Decoupling via Alternatives: Fortunately, viable alternatives exist, and some are seeing increased adoption as Europeans grow wary. Proton's Tech Sovereignty report notes that *use of Swiss-based ProtonMail in Europe jumped ~12% year-on-year while Gmail usage slightly declined*, coinciding with rising sovereignty concerns[55]. Below are key alternatives for various productivity needs, emphasizing European or open-source solutions:

- **Email and Calendar:** **Proton Mail** (Switzerland) and **Tutanota** (Germany) offer end-to-end encrypted email hosted in Europe, as well as calendar services. They ensure that emails cannot be read by providers or U.S. authorities. Both have free and paid plans and now integrate features like cloud storage (Proton Drive) to replace Google Drive[56]. For businesses, **Zimbra** (open-source, with an EU edition) or **Open-Xchange** (German company) are hostable email server suites that can replace Exchange/Office 365. These keep mail data on EU servers you choose. (*Usability:* High – Proton/Tutanota have modern web and mobile apps; *Maturity:* High – used by millions, though some advanced Outlook/Exchange features may differ.)*
- **Office Document Suites:** **LibreOffice** (open-source, initially a European-led project) provides a full offline office suite (word processor, spreadsheet, slides) compatible with Microsoft formats. For online collaboration similar to Google Docs/Office 365, there are **OnlyOffice** and **Collabora Online**, both open-source online editors that can be self-hosted or used via providers. These allow real-time co-editing of documents in a browser, just like Google Docs, but you can run them on an EU server (Collabora is

actually a company based in the UK, and OnlyOffice's company Ascensio is based in Latvia). Some governments (like in France and Italy) have started deploying OnlyOffice/Collabora with Nextcloud for internal use, proving their readiness. (*Usability*: High – familiar interface; *Maturity*: High – Collabora is essentially LibreOffice Online with enterprise support, used by governments.)*

- **Cloud Storage & Collaboration: Nextcloud** (open-source, Germany) is a popular alternative to Google Drive/Dropbox. Organizations can host Nextcloud on their own servers or use an EU-based Nextcloud provider. It offers file storage, sharing, Office document editing (integrating Collabora/OnlyOffice), calendars, contacts, and even chat and video calls via Nextcloud Talk. With Nextcloud, data never leaves servers you trust – it's a cornerstone of EU digital sovereignty initiatives[57][58]. Another alternative is **Tresorit** (Switzerland) which provides encrypted cloud storage with fine-grained control over data location (all servers in EU or Switzerland). (*Usability*: High; *Maturity*: High for Nextcloud – large community and many plugins.)*
- **Team Chat and Video Conferencing:** Instead of Microsoft Teams or Slack (both U.S.), European companies can use **Mattermost** or **Rocket.Chat** (open-source team chat servers that can be self-hosted in EU). For video meetings, **Jitsi Meet** is an open-source video conferencing tool originally developed in Europe (Estonia/France) that can be run on any server – some EU governments used Jitsi during the pandemic for secure internal calls. **BigBlueButton** (open-source, Canada/EU contributors) is another option, often used for online education, that can be hosted in-country for webinars and meetings. These alternatives avoid routing sensitive conversations through U.S. cloud. (*Usability*: Medium/High – they have slightly fewer bells and whistles than Teams/Zoom, but core functionality is solid; *Maturity*: Medium – continuously improving with open-source contributions.)*
- **Integrated EU Suites:** There are emerging Europe-based integrated offerings – for example, **Mailfence** (Belgium) offers secure email, calendar, docs, and storage in one. **Zoho Workplace** (not EU-based, but an Indian company) is another non-US suite some European firms consider to avoid U.S. jurisdiction.

By transitioning to these tools, European businesses and public institutions can keep everyday communications and intellectual property within Europe's legal sphere. It also reduces the risk of being **caught in transatlantic regulatory crossfire** – e.g. the uncertainty around Privacy Shield agreements and U.S. data access would be moot if you're not sending data to U.S. servers in the first place[59][60]. The key is that **convenience is now less of an excuse**: ten years ago, open or Europe-based tools lagged far behind the slick Big Tech products, but today many are on par in usability and features. With Proton, Nextcloud, LibreOffice, etc., one can run a modern office

with minimal functionality loss. Governments are starting to lead by example here (the French State has its own Matrix chat and has piloted Nextcloud for file sharing, Germany's cities like Munich have long tried Linux/LibreOffice for administration). In the short term, even using these tools in parallel (instead of completely abandoning Microsoft/Google overnight) builds familiarity and resilience.

7. Communications Services (Messaging and Voice)

Real-time communications – messaging, voice and video calls – are another critical area long dominated by U.S. platforms. WhatsApp, Facebook Messenger, Google Meet, Zoom, FaceTime, and Telegram (Dubai-based but U.S.-linked) handle the bulk of Europeans' private and business communications. This poses privacy and security issues (U.S. companies can be subject to surveillance orders), and strategic concerns (coordination and information flow in Europe reliant on foreign networks). We've seen instances of messaging apps being pressured by governments; for example, the U.S. could theoretically push a platform to limit service in a crisis. Even without that, **metadata** from these services (who contacts whom, when) often ends up stored on U.S. servers, accessible to authorities[61]. With the U.S. government recently threatening visa bans over what it calls censorship of Americans by foreign regulators[62][63], one could imagine a scenario where U.S. companies refuse to comply with EU content or privacy rules, causing a standoff that interrupts service.

Alternatives: For individuals and organizations looking to secure their communications within Europe's legal framework, there are several options:

- **Signal and Threema (for Messaging):** **Signal** is a highly secure messaging app (end-to-end encryption by default) that saw a surge of European users in recent years[64]. While Signal is run by a U.S. nonprofit, it collects virtually no data and has a strong pro-privacy stance, making it a better choice than WhatsApp (owned by Meta). Another option, **Threema**, is based in Switzerland and is a paid app that keeps all data (even phone numbers) private – it's popular among EU users who value privacy. (*Usability*: High – similar to WhatsApp; *Maturity*: High.)*
- **Matrix/Element (for Messaging and Collaboration):** **Matrix** is an open protocol for decentralized real-time communication, and **Element** is a UK/France-based company providing a polished client and managed server offerings. Matrix allows anyone to run their own server but still interconnect with others. The French government has adopted Matrix for internal messaging (the "Tchap" system) to replace WhatsApp. It supports not just text, but file sharing, voice/video, and bridges to other chat networks. Using Matrix/Element, a company could host its messaging on its own servers (or use an EU hosting service), ensuring no backdoor access by non-EU entities. (*Usability*: Medium – improving, Element is user-friendly but network effect is smaller than WhatsApp; *Maturity*: High – protocol is stable, large deployments in public sector.)*
- **Voice/Video Calls: Jitsi Meet** deserves another mention here as a drop-in alternative to Zoom/Google Meet for video conferencing. You can use public Jitsi servers (some hosted in EU) or run your own. **BigBlueButton** (often for virtual classrooms) can also be used for

meetings. For one-to-one voice/video, most of the messaging apps above (Signal, Threema, Matrix) have call capabilities. Additionally, **Wire** (headquartered in Switzerland, originally developed in Germany) is a secure messaging and calling app oriented towards businesses, offering an end-to-end encrypted Slack/Teams alternative. Wire keeps data in Switzerland and is open-source.

- **Telecom and VoIP:** European telecom operators still control much of the traditional phone network in Europe (Deutsche Telekom, Orange, Vodafone etc.), which is good for sovereignty. Ensure your critical phone lines use local carriers rather than relying on Skype or Google Voice for anything sensitive. For VoIP, open-source PBX systems like *Asterisk* or *FreeSWITCH* let organizations run phone systems in-house or with EU cloud hosts, rather than using U.S.-based VoIP providers.

(Reality check: Network effects are strong in communications – you can't fully benefit from an alternative unless your contacts use it too. However, momentum is building. After WhatsApp's policy changes and the Musk takeover of Twitter, Europeans flocked to alternatives: Signal saw European installs jump, and decentralized networks like Mastodon (for social media) gained millions of users[65][64]. The EU's Digital Markets Act will also force big messengers to open up to interoperability in coming years, meaning choosing an EU-friendly app might not cut you off from WhatsApp/iMessage in the future. In the short term, start by using an alternative for specific groups – e.g. a company might mandate Matrix/Element for internal comms, or a family might switch to Threema – to reduce dependence on U.S. services.)

8. Social Media & Online Platforms

When it comes to social networking, video sharing, and online communities, U.S. platforms dominate Europeans' digital public square. Facebook, Instagram, X (Twitter), YouTube, Reddit – these are American or U.S.-controlled services shaping discourse and holding vast amounts of user data. This raises sovereignty concerns on multiple levels: content governance (European norms vs Silicon Valley policies), data privacy (personal data funnelled to U.S.), and even disinformation or political manipulation campaigns running unchecked. If the U.S. political climate turns volatile, it could directly affect these platforms' policies or algorithms in ways that spill over into Europe. We saw hints of this when the U.S. government pressured TikTok (though Chinese-owned) – similarly an unstable U.S. administration might lean on Meta or X in ways that conflict with European interests. Additionally, a tech CEO's whims (e.g. Twitter's leadership changes) can suddenly alter platform rules globally. Europe's enforcement of new laws like the Digital Services Act has already led to friction with U.S. companies complaining of "censorship" [63]. In a worst case, a platform could withdraw from Europe or be cut off if it refuses to comply or if the U.S. blocks cooperation. The fact that *74% of Europeans get news from online platforms* (statistic context) means any disruption could have societal impact.

Alternatives (Federated and European platforms): While it's unrealistic to expect everyone to leave global social networks overnight, Europe can cultivate and migrate to homegrown platforms gradually, focusing on those that align with EU values (privacy, transparency, moderation compliant with EU law):

- **Fediverse (Mastodon, Pixelfed, PeerTube, Lemmy):** The **Fediverse** is a collection of decentralized, open-source social media platforms that can interconnect. **Mastodon** is the flagship microblogging platform (think Twitter alternative) created by a German developer. It saw a *rush of new EU users when Musk took over Twitter (X)* [65], and while still niche, it proved the viability of a decentralized network. Users can choose EU-based servers (or run their own) and still follow each other across instances. **Pixelfed** is a similar concept for photo sharing (Instagram-like), **PeerTube** for video (YouTube alternative allowing communities to host their own streaming), and **Lemmy** for link discussion (Reddit alternative, with some instances in Europe). These platforms give control back to users and communities – if one server's policies or stability falter, you can migrate to another. No single government can flip a switch to turn them off globally, and personal data remains on servers of the user's choosing. (*Usability:* Medium – slightly more complex sign-up, but user experience is similar to mainstream apps; *Maturity:* Medium – improving, e.g. Mastodon is quite robust now, PeerTube still maturing.)*

- **European Niche Networks:** There are EU-based social platforms on the rise. For example, **Vero** (though international) emphasizes no ads and chronological feeds, and has EU users as a niche Instagram alternative. **BeReal** (a French app) gained global popularity for casual photo sharing among friends, showing Europe *can* innovate in social media. For video content, France's **Dailymotion** still exists as a smaller YouTube alternative (with efforts to comply strongly with EU rules). **Odyssey** (based on LBRY, with EU presence) and **NewPipe** (EU-developed YouTube frontend) are used by those avoiding YouTube's tracking.
- **Community Forums and Local Networks:** Encourage use of local or open forums for community-building. For instance, many Europeans have moved technical discussions from Reddit to **Lemmy** instances or other forums after Reddit's policy changes. Localized networks, like **Wer kennt wen?** in Germany (historical example) or emerging ones focusing on EU communities, can be supported. Professional networking in Europe could lean more on platforms like **XING** (Germany-based) instead of LinkedIn (though LinkedIn is entrenched).
- **Regulatory Support:** The EU's laws (DSA, DMA) will increase interoperability and data portability, meaning Europeans can more easily take their social graph from one service to another. This will help alternatives grow. In the meantime, public broadcasters and institutions in Europe have started creating a presence on Mastodon and PeerTube, signaling legitimacy for these platforms.

(Reality: Mainstream social media migration is slow – people go where their friends are. But strategic decoupling doesn't require 100% abandonment of U.S. platforms. It means building viable European-led spaces so that if Facebook or X implode or become adversarial, Europeans aren't left stranded. The recent growth of Mastodon – gaining millions of users in 2022-2023 – shows that with the right trigger (e.g. dissatisfaction with Twitter's direction), people will move[65]. The key is to have those alternative ecosystems ready and welcoming. Individuals can start by maintaining parallel accounts: join a Mastodon server relevant to your interests (there are large ones in EU like mastodon.social, mstdn.fr, etc.) and follow your friends or favorite content creators there. Over time, network effects could shift.)

9. Search Engines and Web Browsers

Status Quo: Google Search is virtually synonymous with web search worldwide – in Europe it holds over 90% market share. Likewise, Google’s Chrome and Apple’s Safari dominate browsers on mobile and desktop, with Firefox (developed by U.S.-based Mozilla) and others trailing. This U.S. monopoly in search means Google’s algorithms (and biases) shape what Europeans find online, and Google’s servers log the queries of hundreds of millions of EU residents. From a sovereignty perspective, this is problematic: it centralizes knowledge access in one foreign company, which could censor or tweak results (intentionally or incidentally) without European oversight. A politically unstable U.S. might pressure Google on certain narratives or data access (consider the Snowden era revelations of NSA tapping data streams – search logs are a prime intel source[66][67]). Meanwhile, browsers – as the gatekeepers of the web – being mainly U.S.-controlled means certificate trusts (as discussed), privacy settings, and web standards are heavily influenced by foreign companies.

Alternatives in Search: A number of European search providers have gained attention by emphasizing privacy and local control:

- **Ecosia** (Germany) – A search engine that uses Bing’s index but runs its servers in Europe and donates profits to plant trees. Importantly, Ecosia doesn’t profile users for ads in the same invasive way. It has seen usage increase as people look to avoid U.S. giants; *Ecosia’s EU search queries rose 27% year-on-year amid recent political events[68]*. It holds a small share (e.g. ~1% in Germany) but is growing[68]. (*Usability*: High – results are decent due to Bing backend; *Maturity*: High.)*
- **Qwant** (France) – Billed as the “European Google,” Qwant uses its own indexing combined with some Bing results, with a strong no-tracking privacy policy. It’s an EU-based company and complies with EU privacy laws strictly. The French government at one point recommended Qwant for officials. Qwant’s challenge has been results quality, and it ironically uses some U.S. infrastructure (Bing results and cloud hosting). Still, it’s a European entity striving for an independent index. (*Usability*: Medium; *Maturity*: Medium – index not as comprehensive as Google.)*
- **Mojeek** (based in UK) – A truly independent search engine (built its own index from scratch) focusing on unbiased results and not tracking users. It’s small but notable as one of the few non-U.S. web indexes. (*Usability*: Medium; *Maturity*: Medium.)*
- **Meta-search alternatives:** Services like **Startpage** (Netherlands, but previously acquired by a U.S. ad company – so mixed feelings) and **Searx/Searxng** (open-source metasearch that can be self-hosted) allow using Google’s results indirectly while protecting privacy. A

community or even a government could host a Searx instance to give citizens a neutral search portal querying multiple engines.

One caveat: even these alternatives sometimes rely on U.S. tech at the backend (Bing or Google results). For example, **both Ecosia and Qwant partly depend on Bing/Google for results, and Ecosia even runs some services on those cloud platforms it seeks to escape**[\[5\]](#). This highlights that in search, *true* independence is hard until Europe develops its own full search index and cloud. Projects like **Europeana** (for cultural content) and national web archives could be building blocks for future European search indexes focusing on local content.

Alternatives in Browsers: As for browsers, the leading non-U.S. option historically was **Opera** (originally Norwegian, though now Chinese-owned) and **Firefox** (open-source, but U.S.-based Mozilla). However, European developers have created privacy-focused spins:

- **Brave** (by a U.S. company, but with significant usage in EU) and **Vivaldi** (headquartered in Norway, founded by Opera's creator) are two alternatives to Chrome that many tech-savvy Europeans use. **Vivaldi** in particular is EU-based and committed to not harvesting user data. It uses the Chromium engine (same as Chrome) but with added privacy features. (*Usability*: High; *Maturity*: High.)*
- **LibreWolf/Waterfox** – Community-driven browsers based on Firefox, often with stricter privacy, that can be configured to use European services as defaults.
- **GNOME Web (Epiphany)** – A simple browser that is part of the GNOME project (open-source, with many European contributors). It's lightweight and can be a fully local solution.
- **Tor Browser / Mullvad Browser** – For those concerned with surveillance, Tor Browser (developed by a U.S. non-profit but widely used worldwide) allows anonymous browsing by routing through volunteer nodes (including many in Europe). The new **Mullvad Browser** (collaboration between Tor Project and Swedish VPN Mullvad) provides Tor's privacy features without the Tor network (good for avoiding fingerprinting/tracking). These browsers are neutral and open-source, aligning with EU privacy values.

In summary, to reduce reliance on Google: one can set their default search to Ecosia or Qwant (small steps that, if many do it, chip away at Google's dominance) and use a browser like Firefox or Vivaldi instead of Chrome. Several EU governments have encouraged employees to do exactly this on work devices for privacy reasons. The **European Commission even maintains its own browser extension (“EU Access”)** that redirects certain service usage to European alternatives (for instance, opening YouTube links in the Invidious front-end or redirecting searches to an EU search engine).

(Fun fact: When Trump's presidency scared some privacy-conscious users in 2025, Ecosia reported "the worse it gets, the better for us," as people sought non-U.S. search options[69][70]. It shows that public sentiment can change quickly – Europeans just need viable home alternatives ready to go.)

10. Financial Infrastructure & Currency Systems

Beyond consumer payments (addressed in section 3), Europe's broader financial system has deep links to U.S. infrastructure. The **SWIFT network**, while based in Belgium, has historically come under U.S. influence – the U.S. can sanction countries out of SWIFT (as seen with Iran and recently Russia) and has demanded access to SWIFT transaction data in the name of anti-terrorism in the past. The **global dominance of the U.S. dollar** in trade (many EU imports like oil are priced in USD) means European banks must clear many transactions through U.S. correspondent banks. This gives the U.S. a powerful lever: it can block transactions, freeze dollar assets, or cut off access to dollar clearing (a fear if transatlantic relations severely deteriorated). European banks also rely on U.S.-based financial market utilities (like clearinghouses, payment processors for credit cards, even U.S. credit rating agencies to some degree). **Monetary sovereignty** is at stake – leaders like Macron have at times called for Europe to reduce its dependency on the dollar. An unstable U.S. (e.g. debt default crisis, extreme sanctions policies) could send shockwaves through Europe's financial system if contingency measures aren't in place[32].

Alternatives & Plans:

- **Euro-Based Payment Channels:** Strengthen and utilize **domestic and intra-European payment networks** that bypass U.S. systems. The EU's **TARGET2** system (run by the ECB) already settles euro transactions between banks; it's fully under European control. Expanding its use for more cross-border settlements (even beyond the eurozone, for trade with partners willing to invoice in euros) will help. The EU also launched **TIPS (TARGET Instant Payment Settlement)** for instant payments. If European firms start demanding euro-denominated trade and use European banks for settlement, it reduces points of contact with U.S. finance. For instance, paying for energy imports in euros via a European bank consortium would avoid involving New York clearing.
- **SWIFT Alternatives and Shields:** The EU has contemplated alternatives to SWIFT or at least back-up systems. After the U.S. unilaterally withdrew from the Iran nuclear deal, Europe set up **INSTEX** – a special-purpose vehicle to facilitate trade with Iran without direct money flows (essentially a barter/credit system)[71]. While limited in success, it was an important political move. In the long run, the Eurosystem could create a parallel messaging network for financial institutions (perhaps leveraging blockchain or linking into the systems Russia/China have built). Furthermore, SWIFT itself can be **“Europeanized”** more – ensuring its governance isn't swayed by one member (SWIFT's board includes EU banks and it operates under Belgian/EU law, so theoretically the EU can assert more influence over its policies).

- **Digital Euro (CBDC):** A major strategic project is the **Digital Euro**, a central bank digital currency under discussion by the ECB[72][73]. The idea is to offer a public digital payment method that's as convenient as PayPal or cards but guaranteed by the ECB. A digital euro could be used for instant person-to-person payments, retail transactions, and even cross-border settlements. Crucially, it would not rely on any U.S. intermediary – it would be like digital cash. The ECB has cited *monetary sovereignty* as a motivation: to ensure the euro's role and to avoid a scenario where foreign digital currencies (whether private like Facebook's proposed Libra, or other central bank coins) take over European payment flows[32][74]. If the digital euro is implemented (potentially in the second half of this decade), it could provide a **Plan B rail** if, say, U.S. payment giants withdraw services or a crisis hits the banking system. Even before that, preparation for a digital euro is pushing European central infrastructure to modernize, which adds resilience.
- **Diversifying Reserves and Trading Partners:** At the government level, the EU and member states can continue (as they have started) diversifying currency reserves and encouraging trade in euros. Already, the euro is the second most used currency globally. Initiatives under China's Belt and Road, for example, talk about settling in local currencies to reduce dollar dependency[75][76] – Europe can partake in such arrangements for mutual trade (e.g. settling EU-China trade partly in euros and yuan). The more trade is invoiced in euros, the less exposure to U.S. financial channels.
- **Financial Market Autonomy:** Encouraging Europe's own capital markets is another aspect. For example, strengthening European stock exchanges, funding sources (so that EU startups don't feel they must list on Nasdaq or get U.S. VC funding), and reducing reliance on U.S. rating agencies and investment banks. While this is more economic than digital, it intersects with digital finance (e.g. EU-based fintech platforms vs relying on U.S. fintech). Efforts like the Capital Markets Union aim to keep more of the financial ecosystem under EU purview.

(Example: When Russia was cut off from SWIFT in 2022 due to sanctions, the European financial sector realized that such a tool, if ever misused or if Europe itself were in a dispute with the U.S., could be devastating. Since then, Europe's been quietly enhancing its autonomy – such as the ECB opening euro liquidity lines to other countries, and pushing the digital euro. Even though Europe and the U.S. are allies, having a sovereign financial infrastructure is like an insurance policy. In the short term, businesses can contribute by using euro-based trade finance and exploring fintech that doesn't hinge on U.S. gateways. In the medium term, support for the digital euro and pan-European banking integration will pay off.)

Conclusion & Outlook: Achieving digital independence from the U.S. is a complex journey, but as we've detailed, there are concrete steps in **ten key areas** that Europeans can take now. From installing a de-Googled OS on your phone, to switching your business to an EU cloud provider, to promoting local payment networks – each action reduces risk and builds a more sovereign digital ecosystem. Europe is waking up to these challenges: public awareness is growing and so is political will for “technological sovereignty” initiatives. Importantly, sovereignty doesn't mean isolation. It's about *diversification* and *choice* – ensuring that Europe isn't forced to accept whatever policies a foreign power or company dictates because no alternative exists. By developing and supporting our own operating systems, cloud services, software, and networks, we create a **shield against instability**.

In the near term, these alternatives provide a buffer if U.S. politics cause disruptions. In the long term, they could put Europe at the forefront of an open, privacy-friendly digital paradigm. A European hairdresser interviewed about switching from American services put it simply: “*Ordinary people... are saying, ‘hang on!’*” and looking for switches[77]. We now have a map of where to switch. By taking action in these 10 areas, both individuals and organizations in Europe can begin to **digitally decouple** – not to disconnect from the world, but to reconnect on *our own terms*. Sovereignty, usability, and maturity are aligning in our favor as European tech comes into its own. It's time to seize the opportunity to strengthen our digital autonomy, one service at a time.

[1] [2] [66] [67] Digital sovereignty: Europe's declaration of independence? - Atlantic Council

<https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/in-depth-research-reports/report/digital-sovereignty-europes-declaration-of-independence/>

[3] [6] [8] [43] [44] [45] [47] [48] [50] [54] [57] [58] [60] Europe's digital sovereignty blueprint: from dependency to autonomy - XWiki

<https://xwiki.com/en/Blog/digital-sovereignty-Europe-blueprint/>

[4] [5] [7] [9] [10] [46] [51] [55] [56] [61] [62] [63] [64] [65] [68] [69] [70] [77] Europeans seek 'digital sovereignty' as US tech firms embrace Trump | Reuters

<https://www.reuters.com/business/media-telecom/europeans-seek-digital-sovereignty-us-tech-firms-embrace-trump-2025-06-21/>

[11] Visit to Topio: Market Stall for Sustainable and Google-Free Mobile Phones – Digital for Good | RESET.ORG

<https://en.reset.org/visit-to-topio-market-stall-for-sustainable-and-google-free-mobile-phones/>

[12] Support the Future of Our Freedom: Sign the Petition for an EU ...

<https://e.foundation/leaving-apple-google-support-the-future-of-our-freedom-sign-the-petition-for-an-eu-linux-operating-system-in-public-administrations/>

[13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [59] Blog - Digital Sovereignty : what is it and why is...

<https://evertrust.io/blog/digital-sovereignty-what-is-it-and-why-is-it-important-for-your-certificates/>

[20] [21] [22] [23] [24] [28] [33] Breaking the duopoly: Europe's future beyond Visa and Mastercard

<https://thepaypers.com/payments/expert-views/breaking-the-visa-and-mastercard-duopoly-europes-path-to-innovation>

[25] [26] [27] Wero (payment) - Wikipedia

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wero_\(payment\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wero_(payment))

[29] Wero: The European Challenger Digital Wallet

<https://insights.flagshipadvisorypartners.com/wero-the-european-challenger-digital-wallet>

[30] Nuvei and European Payments Initiative Launch Wero Payments for ...

<https://www.nuvei.com/posts/nuvei-and-european-payments-initiative-launch-wero-payments-for-european-ecommerce-merchants>

[31] [32] [72] [73] [74] [75] [76] Monetary sovereignty: why the EU needs a digital euro | Clingendael spectator

<https://spectator.clingendael.org/en/publication/monetary-sovereignty-why-eu-needs-digital-euro>

[34] [35] [36] [37] [39] [40] [41] European Adobe Photoshop Alternatives

<https://buy-european.net/en/alternative-to/adobe-photoshop>

[38] Any non-US alternatives to Adobe Photoshop? - Facebook

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/256737941555793/posts/1764811290748443/>

[42] [49] [52] [53] US companies dominate the European cloud market – regional players are left fighting for scraps | IT Pro

<https://www.itpro.com/cloud/cloud-computing/us-companies-dominate-the-european-cloud-market-regional-players-are-left-fighting-for-scaps>

[71] Instrument in Support of Trade Exchanges - Wikipedia

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Instrument_in_Support_of_Trade_Exchanges